



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/392,626	09/09/1999	SHIN MOGI	35.C13816	1507

5514 7590 03/08/2004

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA
NEW YORK, NY 10112

EXAMINER

PHAM, HAI CHI

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2861

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/392,626	Applicant(s) MOGI ET AL.	
	Examiner Hai C Pham	Art Unit 2861	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 27-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 27-44 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 27-30, 32, 35-39, 41, 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tomita (JP 9-329754).

Tomita discloses a multi-beam scanning device comprising a light source unit (Fig. 4) comprising a laser light source (31) and a driving circuit board (26, Fig. 2) for driving said laser light source, said laser light source including a laser chip having a plurality of emission points (semiconductor laser chip with two or more light emission points 31 a and 31b) (English translation, paragraphs [0016] and [0017]) for emitting laser beams and a terminal (Figs. 1 and 6) for energizing the laser chip, said driving circuit board (electrical circuit substrate 26) being connected to the terminal of said laser light source (the electrical circuit substrate 26 containing all the electronics necessary for driving the laser source 31 as shown in Fig. 7 based on the signals provided via the

Art Unit: 2861

cable 16) and having a longitudinal edge (the electrical circuit substrate 26 having a horizontal longitudinal edge, as shown in Fig. 1), scanning means (polygon mirror 3) for scanning a surface (of the drum 5) to be scanned with the laser beams emitted by said light source unit, and a housing (optical box 21) having a wall wherein said housing contains said scanning means and supports said light source unit on the wall (Fig. 1), and wherein the terminal of said laser light source (31) is fixed to said driving circuit board (26) such that a straight line passing the plurality of emission points of said laser light source is inclined with respect to the longitudinal edge of said driving circuit board (to adjust the scanning line spacing, the multi-beam laser source 31 is rotated without rotating the driving substrate 26 such that the line joining the light emitting points 31a and 31b forms an angle with the horizontal line) (Fig. 4). It is noted that paragraph [0018] further affirms the above statement of the inclination of the line intercepting the light emitting points with respect to the horizontal line by defining the distance r as being the distance between the imaginary line L and the nearest light emitting point.

With regard to claim 28, Tomita further discloses the longitudinal edge of said driving circuit board being arranged substantially in parallel with the longitudinal edge of the wall of said housing (Fig. 1).

As to claims 29 and 38, Tomita teaches the driving circuit board having a substantially rectangular shape (Figs. 1 and 2).

As to claims 30 and 39, Tomita teaches the light source unit comprising a holder (22) holding the laser light source (paragraph [0017]).

With regard to claims 32, 41, Tomita teaches the plurality of emissions points (31a and 31b) of the laser light source being arranged linearly (Fig. 4).

With regard to claims 35, 44, Tomita teaches the laser light source being a multi-beam semiconductor laser.

With regard to claim 36, Tomita also discloses the scanning means comprising a rotary polygon mirror (3) for deflecting the laser beams emitted by said light source unit and an imaging lens (4) for focusing the laser beams deflected by said rotary polygon mirror.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 31 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomita in view of Aoki (U.S. 5,408,493).

Tomita discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the laser array being fixed with an inclination with respect to a reference surface of the laser holder.

However, Aoki discloses a laser scanning apparatus in which the laser (6, Fig. 4B) has an angle-adjusting holder (12) for adjusting an inclination angle with respect to

the fixed plate (11) to obtain a desired point image position on the surface to be scanned.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Tomita with the aforementioned teaching of Aoki. Doing so would allow the adjustment of the optical path of the laser beam to produce an image point at a desired position on the surface to be scanned.

6. Claims 33 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomita in view of Nakajima et al. (U.S. 5,999,345).

Tomita discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the multi-beam semiconductor laser having a plurality of two-dimensionally arrayed emission points.

However, it is well known in the art that the selection of one-dimensional or two-dimensional array lasers in an optical scanning device would be a matter of design choice to fit a specific requirement. Nakajima et al., for example, discloses a laser holder that can support a one-dimensional or two-dimensional laser array while allowing the adjustment of the distance between the multiple laser beams (Figs. 1, 3, 5 and 6).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the laser holder of Tomita to hold a plurality of two-dimensional laser arrays as taught by Nakajima et al. Doing so would allow to increase the printing speed of the laser printer. Moreover, the implementation of such laser holder would involve only routine skill in the art.

7. Claims 34 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomita in view of Asami (JP 10-10447).

Tomita discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention including a collimator lens (23) for collimating the laser beams emitted from said laser light source and a lens barrel (24) holding said collimator lens, said lens barrel being supported by the holder (22) and thus fails to teach the lens barrel and the holder being an integral part.

Asami discloses in Fig. 2 a light source unit (12) provided with a holder (21) fixed to the optical box (11) of the multi-beam scanning device, and a lens barrel (24) with built-in collimator lens (8), wherein the holder and the lens barrel form an integral part.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the lens barrel as an integrated part with the laser source holder as taught by Asami in the device of Tomita. By doing so, the laser source unit would be highly accurately held and positioned with respect to the collimator lens without having to adjust the optical axis of the laser beams with respect to the collimator lens.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action.

Additional Prior Art

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sato et al. (U.S. 4,800,401) discloses a multi-beam scanning device having a light source unit provided with a plurality of light emitting points, a driving circuit board on which is attached the laser unit, wherein the driving circuit board is fixed to the housing of the scanning device with the longitudinal edge of the circuit board being parallel to the top edge of the housing wall.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2260. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (751) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



HAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 19, 2004